UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,395	12/28/2001	Bertram Geck	2001P15341 US	1132
7590 04/19/2007 Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER	
			ALAM, UZMA	
186 Wood Ave Iselin, NJ 0883			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1301111, 143 0000			2157	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	04/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/034,395	GECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Uzma Alam	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	;			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may not will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	February 2007.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	:			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abeytection is required if the drawired	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	e			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervie\	v Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date  f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2157

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the arguments filed on August 10, 2006. Claims 1-22 are pending. Claims 1-22 represent a method for providing messages on a telephone.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guggisberg US Patent Publication No. 2004/0029598 in view of Hilt et al. US Patent Publication No. 2003/0046374. Guggisberg teaches the invention as claimed including a sending mail between a computer connected to the Internet and a mobile telephone (see abstract). Hilt et al. teaches the invention as claimed including browser plug in system for bidirectional device communication.
- 4. As per claims 1 and 10, Guggisberg teaches a method and apparatus for routing Internettype messages from a computer workstation to a digital telephone having a display, said method comprising:
- a) coupling the computer workstation to a TCP/IP network (the computer workstation is connected to the Internet; paragraph 0019, 0049);

Art Unit: 2157

b) coupling the digital telephone to the TCP/IP network (the phone is connected to the internet; paragraph 0019, 0049);

Guggisberg does not teach:

providing the computer workstation which supports messaging plug-ins, each of said messaging plug-ins being assigned a selected port; and

a browser program and providing messaging redirector plug-ins for the browser program which each replace a corresponding messaging plug-in and are each assigned to a same said selected port to allow the digital telephone to log on to the computer workstation and each of said messaging redirector plug-ins using a respective said selected port to forward selected messages to the digital telephone logged on to the workstation.

Hilt et al. teaches

providing the computer workstation which supports messaging plug-ins, each of said messaging plug-ins being assigned a selected port (pp 0009); and

a browser program and providing messaging redirector plug-ins for the browser program which each replace a corresponding messaging plug-in and are each assigned to a same said selected port to allow the digital telephone to log on to the computer workstation and each of said messaging redirector plug-ins using a respective said selected port to forward selected messages to the digital telephone logged on to the workstation (pp0024-0025).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the browser plug-in of Hilt et al. with the plug in of Guggisberg. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to facilitate messaging between the computer and peripheral devices connected to the computer.

5. As per claims 2 and 11, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone and the computer workstation are directly coupled to said TCP/IP network (the phone and workstation are connected to the internet; paragraph 0019, 0049).

- 6. As per claims 3 and 12, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the TCP/IP network is the Internet (paragraph 0019, 0049).
- 7. As per claims 4 and 13, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the TCP/IP network is a LAN (0019, 0049).
- 8. As per claims 5 and 14, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 4 and 13, further comprising: e) coupling the computer workstation is coupled to the Internet (0019, 0049).
- 9. Claims 6-9 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guggisberg et al. US Patent Publication No. 2004/0029598 in view of Hilt et al. et al. US Patent No. 5,963,620 as applied to claims 1-5 and 10-14 above and in further view of Szlam et al. US Patent No. 6,359,892. Szlam discloses the invention as claimed including forwarding messages to remote devices (see abstract).
- 10. As per claims 6 and 15, Guggisberg and et al. teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone is coupled to the TCP/IP network via a telephone

network coupled to a server computer coupled to the TCP/IP network. See paragraph 0019, 0049. Guggisberg and Hilt et al. does not expressly teach a PBX network. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg and Hilt et al. with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

11. As per claims 7 and 16, Guggisberg and Hilt et al. teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone is coupled to the TCP/IP network via the PSTN coupled to a telephone network coupled to the TCP/IP network. See paragraph 0019, 0049. Guggisberg and Hilt et al. does not expressly teach a PBX network. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg and Hilt et al. with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

12. As per claims 8 and 17, Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone is coupled to the TCP/IP network via a wireless connection to a telephone network coupled to the TCP/IP network. See paragraph 0019, 0049. Guggisberg does not expressly teach a PBX network. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

Art Unit: 2157

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg Hilt et al. with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

13. As per claims 9 and 18, Guggisberg and Hilt et al. teach the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein: the digital telephone is coupled to the TCP/IP network via a wireless connection to the PSTN to a telephone network coupled to the TCP/IP network. See paragraph 0019, 0049. Guggisberg and Hilt et al. does not expressly teach a PBX network. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg and Hilt et al. with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

14. As per claims 19 and 21, Guggisberg and Hilt et al. teach the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, wherein said messaging plug-ins include plug-ins to handle electronic mail. See 0019, 0022, and 0050. Guggisberg and Hilt et al. do not expressly teach plug-ins to handle each of news groups, stock quotes, news headlines, weather reports, auction information and instant messaging. Szlam teaches handling different types of messages. See column 7, lines 18-42.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the email messages of Guggisberg and Hilt et al. with the different types of

Page 7

Art Unit: 2157

messages of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because these different messages are all text type messages which can be included in email messages.

15. As per claims 20 and 22 Guggisberg teaches the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 10, said messaging redirector program forwarding said selected messages over said respective selected port to the network. See paragraph 0019, 0049, 0050-0065. Guggisberg does not teach wherein the digital telephone is coupled to a PBX network, said messaging redirector program forwarding said selected messages over said respective selected port to said PBX, said PBX forwarding the messages to the digital telephone. Szlam teaches a PBX network. See column 8, lines 1-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the telephone network of Guggisberg with the PBX network of Szlam. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to allow the user to make long distance phone calls.

## Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2157

## Conclusion

Page 8

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uzma Alam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3995. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday 5:30 AM - 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uzma alam

ua

April 12, 2007

YVES DALENCOURT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100